Community Integration

Meeting #2 – January 7, 2016
Agenda

1. Introductions / warmup
2. Review advisory group assignments
   • Recap results of Meeting #1
   • Purpose of meeting/review agenda
3. Advisory group discussion
4. Public comment (signup to speak)
5. Next steps
6. Closing exercise
Introductions

- Name
- Organization
Framing Principles

OSU-Cascades Community Engagement

Long Range Development Planning

Framing Principles

- Organize an open, transparent and well facilitated community conversation that includes a wide range of ideas and perspectives to contribute to long-range campus design.

- Establish a clear, well defined assignment for the advisory groups, clarifying their role is advisory.

- Seek broad advice rather than consensus on future development of the OSU-Cascades campus. A range of perspectives is acceptable and valued.

- Build on earlier recommendations produced by the Campus Expansion Advisory Committee and related task forces.

- Acknowledge and honor OSU-Cascades’ decisions and commitments to date regarding campus planning. Focus discussion on long range development planning for the selected site, rather than facilities and architectural design.

- Design and conduct an efficient process that respects time commitments of advisory group participants and staff.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 18</td>
<td>Advisory Groups Joint Orientation Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 3</td>
<td>Community Integration Advisory Group Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 7</td>
<td>Community Integration Advisory Group Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 21</td>
<td>Advisory Groups Joint Sharing Session</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selection of design team

- Top design teams present high level approach
- Community groups provide input on teams and concepts
Long range development plan: Process and timeline

- Jan 2016
  - Design: Kick-off

- Mar 2017
  - Design I: Site Program
  - Design II: Site and Buildings
  - Design III: LRDP Draft
  - Design IV: LRDP Final Draft
Community Integration Strategic Questions

1. What emerging trends will shape the future for community integration?
2. How should OSU-Cascades define community-wide livability? What are the key components?
3. How can the community benefit from the OSU-Cascades campus? What concerns should be addressed?
4. What should be the character of the interface between the university and surrounding neighborhoods and resource areas?
5. For access – amenities – open space – landscape – housing – transportation – parking – what should be the high level priorities given to the campus design team?
6. What barriers confront OSU-Cascades for community integration, and how can they be overcome?
Meeting #1—Highlights

- OSU-Cascades will be an economic engine that vastly reshapes the community and the well-being of its inhabitants. However, the benefits of the University can only be fully realized through thoughtful integration of the campus with the community.

- The most challenging problems to address are traffic congestion and student housing, which are closely related.

- Neighborhood livability is also anticipated to be a problem and can only be mitigated through an ongoing total commitment by OSU administration, faculty and students.

- OSU-Cascades can learn much from the failures and successes of many other campuses.

- The boundary between the neighborhood and campus should be “soft”, with a transition area where the campus and community merge. Community members should feel welcome on-campus.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits of OSU-Cascades Campus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger, vital population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversification of the economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural enhancements/performances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local educated workforce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global viewpoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human capital/labor pool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual stimulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaining local youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher level of sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attract more outside dollars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher density; better transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attract new businesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beehive for Bend’s economy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concerns

Housing: availability/pricing/condition  Quality of life

Traffic congestion/parking  Noise

Safe passage for bicycles & pedestrians  Light pollution

Demand on services, parks trails, habitat  Alcohol usage

Security/terrorism  Intergenerational conflict

Political change  Polarized neighborhoods
What should be the character of the interface between the university and surrounding neighborhoods and resource areas?
For access – amenities – open space – landscape – housing – transportation – parking – what should be the high level priorities given to the campus design team?
Discussion—Question #6

What barriers confront OSU-Cascades for community integration, and how can they be overcome?
Public Comment

- 15 minutes total for public comment
- Each person who signed up gets 3 minutes
- Additional written comments invited

Thank you for your feedback!
Closing Remarks
Next Steps

Sharing Session
January 21 – 4:00-6:00 p.m.
Aspen Hall (18920 Shevlin Park Road)

Draft summary by January 14: comments please!
What happens on and around the future campus?

Write on a sticky note your favorite program or activity for campus.

Place it on campus, around campus or in the larger region.
Adjourn

Thank you!