



Oregon State University

Counseling Academic Unit

Annual Program Report

Academic Year 2021-22

Prepared by Counseling program faculty for program website posting:

<https://education.oregonstate.edu/accreditation-information> and distribution to current students,

affiliate faculty, alumni, employers, university administrators, and advisory board members.

Table of Contents

Introduction..... 3

CACREP 2016 Standards Addressed 4

Vital Statistics Survey 4

Program Evaluation Process and Dissemination 6

Prior Conversion to the 2016 Standards..... 7

Mission Statements 7

Program Objectives for MCoun and PhD Programs 8

Data Used to Evaluate Program Objectives 9

Program Objective 1..... 11

 1.1. Key Performance Indicators: Ph.D Program 11

 1.2. Key Performance Indicators: MCoun Program 12

 1.3. Program Objective 1 Results 15

 Planned Program Modifications from AY21 18

 New Planned Program Modifications for AY22..... 18

Program Objective 2..... 20

 2.1. Professional Dispositions: Ph.D..... 20

 2.2. Professional Dispositions: MCoun (Corvallis site) 21

 2.3. Professional Dispositions: MCoun (Cascades site)..... 22

 2.4. Program Objective 2 Results 24

 Planned Program Modifications from AY21 27

 New Planned Program Modifications for AY22..... 27

Program Objective 3..... 29

 3.1. Diversity of Ph.D. Program Learning Community 29

 3.2. Diversity of M.Coun (Cascades/Corvallis) Program Learning Community 29

 Planned Program Modifications from AY21 31

 New Planned Program Modifications for AY22 32

Summary of Planned Program Modifications for AY23..... 33

Note Bene:

In this document the program faculty of the OSU Counseling Programs are referred to as the Counseling Academic Unit or CAU. This term has historically been used in our interaction with CACREP and for internal counseling matters. The College of Education of Oregon State University is the academic unit, meaning the institutional structure with curricular responsibility and oversight, for the counseling programs of Oregon State University offered at OSU Cascades and in Corvallis via E Campus.

In 2022, the OSU Cascades counseling program and Ecampus programs will apply for separate accreditation and eventually will prepare and disseminate separate reports once both programs are accredited separately.

Introduction

OSU offers three CACREP-accredited counseling programs: (a) Clinical Mental Health Counseling (CMHC; M.COUN option), (b) School Counseling (SC; M.COUN option), and (c) Ph.D. in Counseling with a Major in Counseling (CES option).

We offer our programs from two sites under CACREP's multisite policy: one in Bend, OR, and one in Corvallis, OR. We offer traditional, in-person CMHC and SC programs in Bend, OR. We offer hybrid CMHC, SC, and Ph.D. programs based on the Corvallis campus. The hybrid CMHC program in Corvallis began in June 2017 after a substantive report was filed and approved by CACREP.

All three of our programs are accredited until March 31, 2024. We are currently in an accreditation cycle, with a self study being produced by December 2022 and a scheduled program review and visit in fall 2023. Note that the OSU Cascades counseling program and Ecampus programs will apply for separate accreditation in 2022 and eventually will prepare and disseminate separate reports once both programs are accredited separately.

This annual report serves as compliance for the 2016 IV.4.D. and IV.4.E. (see standards below), to provide a yearly programmatic review. Oregon State University Counseling Academic Unit (CAU) faculty engages in continuous curricular and program assessments. Areas evaluated align with, and exceed, those specified by the Council for Accreditation of Counseling and Related Educational Programs (CACREP), and the OSU Graduate School. We aim to provide exceptional learning environments and educational experiences for counseling students. To this end, faculty systematically collect and review comprehensive data each academic year (AY) as detailed in the CAU comprehensive assessment plan. This annual program report summary includes program and curricular assessment results. Results inform curricular and program data-driven design and delivery in relation to elements of Oregon State University, College of Education, and the CAU mission and purpose.

CACREP 2016 Standards Addressed

IV.4.D.

Counselor education program faculty disseminate an annual report that includes, by program level,

- (1) a summary of the program evaluation results,
- (2) subsequent program modifications, and
- (3) any other substantial program changes.

The report is published on the program website in an easily accessible location, and students currently in the program, program faculty, institutional administrators, and personnel in cooperating agencies (e.g., employers, site supervisors) are notified that the report is available.

IV.4.E.

Counselor education program faculty must annually post on the program’s website in an easily accessible location the following specific information for each entry-level specialty area and doctoral program:

- (1) the number of graduates for the past academic year,
- (2) pass rates on credentialing examinations,
- (3) completion rates, and
- (4) job placement rates.

Vital Statistics Survey

Each year we complete the online Vital Statistics Survey to report the important data including the number of current students, number of applicants, and demographic data for students and faculty. The following chart include vital statistics required to be posted on our website.

Table 1.
Vital Statistics Dashboard 2020-22

	Number of Graduates			Completion Rate (%)*			Job Placement Rate (%)**			Credentialing Exam Pass Rate (%)		
	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-
PhD Counseling	11	17	+6	93	93	-	100	100	-	-	-	-
MCoun CMHC Corvallis	10	15	+5	79	83	+4%	100	100	-	100	100	-
MCoun CMHC Cascades	22	25	+5	100	68	-32%	100	100	-	67	86	+19%
MCoun CMHC Total	32	40	+8	90	75	-15%	100	100	-	84	93	+19%
MCoun SC Corvallis	11	18	+7	78	95	+17%	100	100	-	-	-	-
MCoun SC Cascades	14	9	-5	100	82	-28%	100	100	-	-	-	-
MCoun SC Total	25	27	+2	89	89	-	100	100	-	-	-	-

*Defined as completion within 6 year period. **Of graduates who sought master’s-level counseling positions within 6 months of graduation. Graduates who did not seek employment were excluded from this data.

Figure 1.
Number of Graduates by Degree Type, 2020-22




Figure 2.
Completion Rates by Degree Type, 2020-22




Figure 3.
Job Placement Rates by Degree Type, 2020-22





Figure 4
Credentialing Exam Pass Rates, 2020-22



Note. PhD Counseling and School Counseling students do not report taking credentialing exams post-graduation because their job positions either do not require it (school counseling) or they have already taken credentialing exams prior to entering the program (PhD Counseling).

Program Evaluation Process and Dissemination

The OSU Counseling Academic Unit is committed to preparing competent and highly qualified counselors. The Ph.D. in Counseling and the Master in Counseling Programs (MCOUN) at Oregon State diligently conducts a systematic evaluation of the program and the students by gathering data from a variety of sources. Data are collected at different points of the year and of the program, such as a two- or three- year cycle. (see Table 1 at the end of this section) The MCOUN produces the Annual Program Report that summarizes the program and

student evaluation data and progress on programmatic goals. The report will be posted on the Counseling Academic Unit (CAU) website under the **Program Outcomes Report** section <http://education.oregonstate.edu/counseling>. A link to the report will be disseminated electronically via email to current students, program faculty, adjuncts, alumni, employers, institutional administrators, and the program's Advisory Council.

Prior Conversion to the 2016 Standards

The faculty started the process of designing our 2016 assessment plan the end of 2018. We collaborated on developing the curriculum assessment plan. The Cascades campus had a process for assessing professional development through their practicum and internship evaluation. The Corvallis campus developed a professional development assessment as well as a plan for implementing the assessment.

We began collecting data for our 2016 plan summer term of 2020. In evaluating our plan this year, we saw that some areas could be adjusted to be more provide more accurate data and be more concise. One of those areas was to make adjustments to our program objectives. We made adjustments to those areas and we sent them to stakeholders for review. Our stakeholders included current students, alumni, site supervisors, and employers (cf. CACREP 2016, II.B.2.). As a result of stakeholder feedback in 2021, we added thematic language about our program's focus on multiculturalism and social justice. In 2022, we made some adjustments to our mission statement to better reflect a commitment to antiracism. In coming years, we will make adjustments to the plan following consult with stakeholders.

Mission Statements

University Mission

As a land grant institution committed to teaching, research, and outreach and engagement, Oregon State University promotes economic, social, cultural and environmental progress for the people of Oregon, the nation and the world. This mission is achieved by producing graduates competitive in the global economy, supporting a continuous search for new knowledge and solutions, and maintaining a rigorous focus on academic excellence, particularly in the three Signature Areas: Advancing the Science of Sustainable Earth Ecosystems; Improving Human Health and Wellness; and Promoting Economic Growth and Social Progress.

College of Education Mission

The College of Education develops multi-culturally competent researchers, scholars, learning leaders, teachers, and counselors who make a difference by promoting innovation, social justice, and lifelong learning with a focus on understanding diversity and developing scientific and technological literacy. Our research and professional preparation fosters scholarship, intellectual stimulation, openness, flexibility, and a sense of community.

Counseling Academic Unit Vision

The Counseling Academic Unit in Corvallis strives to be a national and international leader in preparing counselors and counselors educators as change agents that advance diversity, equity, and inclusion.

Counseling Academic Unit Mission

Using current professional standards, the Counseling Academic Unit (CAU) Faculty at Oregon State University prepares professional counselors and counselor educators who promote the holistic wellness of individuals, families, communities, and organizations. These counselors and counselor educators are prepared to be change agents who are competent, ethical, and proactive in the face of injustice. We prepare counselors in antiracist practices to dismantle racism invariably tethered to other forms of oppression, such as genderism, sexism, classism, heterosexism, and ableism. They are lifelong learners whose work is informed by lifespan development, theory, and evidence-based practice, and a local and global perspective. Their work as counselors, supervisors, educators, researchers, and advocates demonstrates multicultural and diversity competence and a commitment to social justice.

MCoun Program Mission

The Master of Counseling Program serves Oregon State University's Land Grant Mission, supports the CAU mission, and promotes sociocultural equity and antiracist practices to dismantle racism invariably tethered to other forms of oppression, such as genderism, sexism, classism, heterosexism, and ableism. Using current professional training standards, the Master of Counseling Program cultivates counseling student development in four areas: academic excellence, counseling knowledge and skills, personal growth and development, and professional counselor identity and disposition.

Ph.D. Program Mission

The Ph.D. with a major in Counseling program serves Oregon State's Land Grant Mission, supports the CAU mission, and promotes sociocultural equity and antiracist practices to dismantle racism invariably tethered to other forms of oppression, such as genderism, sexism, classism, heterosexism, and ableism. Using current professional training standards, the Ph.D. program prepares exemplary counselor educators, supervisors, advanced counseling practitioners, leaders, advocates, and scholars, to generate, use, and disseminate knowledge to solve critical human problems in a diverse global community.

Program Objectives for MCoun and PhD Programs

1. Students demonstrate sufficient knowledge and skill aligned with core and specialty areas of the CACREP standards, including multicultural and social justice counseling competencies.
2. Students demonstrate professional dispositions required for vocational success in the counseling fields, including an orientation towards multicultural and social justice counseling.
3. Students within the counseling program reflect the demographic diversity of the communities in which they will be working.

Data Used to Evaluate Program Objectives

Instructor rated KPIs

MCoun program KPIs represent knowledge and skills in each of the eight core entry-level curricula areas, in addition to specialty areas offered by the program (i.e., school counseling, clinical mental health counseling) and foci of the program (i.e., social justice). PhD program KPIs represent knowledge and skills in each of the five doctoral professional identity curricula areas. Instructors rate both master's and doctoral students on KPI performance for the year assessed. Individual student performance is tracked to ensure each student demonstrates adequate KPI performance. Students complete additional assignments in cases when they have not yet demonstrated adequate KPI performance. Aggregate KPI student performance are used to evaluate program objectives.

Stakeholder rated KPIs

Every year, the program sends a survey to alumni, site supervisors, and employers. The survey asks these stakeholders to provide feedback on the degree to which students and graduates demonstrate KPI performance. Stakeholders are asked if they have worked with master's and/or doctoral students or graduates in the past year, and are asked tailored questions via skip logic that addresses KPIs for the students (master's or doctoral) that they have worked with. Below are the total number of respondents, % of alumni, % of site supervisors, and % of employers who responded to this year's survey. More detailed results can be found in Table 4 and Table 6 below.

In 2022, we sent out the survey to Corvallis alumni, site supervisors, and employers only (Cascades alumni, site supervisors, and employers completed the survey in 2021). We had 74 total respondents; 23 PhD graduates, 24 MCoun graduates, 23 site supervisors, 4 employers.

Instructor Rated Dispositions

The Cascades campus faculty include evaluation of professional dispositions in their practicum and internship evaluations. The Corvallis campus faculty developed an assessment

tool (PDE) to evaluate professional dispositions. Faculty meet once a year. Faculty meet about students in the MCOUN program in spring term and Ph.D. program in the fall to assess student professional dispositions. Students are assessed during their first two years in the program.

Stakeholder Rated Dispositions

A survey is sent yearly to stakeholders including, alumni, site supervisors, and employers to get feedback on the education that OSU provides. This faculty used this information to make changes to the programs that OSU offers.

Diversity Profile of Current Students and Faculty

Each year we collect data regarding faculty and student diversity for our yearly CACREP Vital Statistics Survey. This information is evaluated by faculty in our assessment meetings in order to assess and brainstorm ways to increase the diversity of the student body and faculty diversity and how better to retain and support students and faculty from diverse backgrounds as part of establishing and sustaining a diverse learning community.

Program Objective 1

Students demonstrate sufficient knowledge and skill aligned with core and specialty area of the CACREP standards.

1.1. Key Performance Indicators: Ph.D Program

KPI's Oregon State University Ph.D. Program
Curriculum Evaluation Plan 2016 Standards
Key Performance Indicators KPI/Ph.D. Courses/Key Outcome Assessments

KPI Level = I-Introduced M-Mastered

CACREP Standard	Program Learning Outcomes KPI	Ph.D. Courses	Key Outcome Assessments (KOA)	Assessment Type	KPI Level
B.1. Counseling	Demonstrate integration of theories and research relevant to counseling	COUN 618B Practicum	Doctoral Practicum Evaluation	Clinical Evaluation (skills)	I
		COUN 619 Internship	Counseling Case Presentation	Presentation (skills)	M
B.2. Supervision	Demonstrates theory- and research-based knowledge and skills in the practice to supervision	COUN 697 Adv. Sup.	Supervision Case Conceptualization and Final Eval	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 619 Internship	Supervision Case Presentation	Presentation (skills)	M
B.3. Teaching	Demonstrate instructional and curriculum design, delivery, and evaluation methods relevant to counselor education	COUN 616 University Teaching	Hybrid Module	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 619 Internship	Teaching Case Presentation	Presentation (skills)	M
B.4. Research & Scholarship	Demonstrate the ability to design research that is appropriate to quantitative and qualitative research questions		Written/Oral Exam	Exam (knowledge)	I
			Dissertation Defense	Oral Presentation (skills)	M

B.5. Leadership and Advocacy	Demonstrate the ability to use the theory and skills of leadership in advocating for the profession and professional identity	COUN 696	Professional Advocacy Plan	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 619 Internship	Leadership and Advocacy Presentation	Presentation (skills)	M
B.6. Multiculturalism and Social Justice	Demonstrates advanced knowledge of multicultural issues and competencies, including social change theory and advocacy action planning	COUN 681 Adv. Diversity and Social Justice	Course Design for Multicultural/ Social Justice Class	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 603	Written/Oral Exam	Exam (knowledge)	M

Scoring Key and Timeline for KPI Assessment (Ph.D.)

Several assessment methods are used to score KPI assessments in the PhD program. KPIs are indicated as “met” or “not met.” Most papers use a score of a B (83%) or above as met. See the Comprehensive Assessment Plan for specific KPI rubrics and the timeline of assessment.

1.2. Key Performance Indicators: MCoun Program

I-Introduced M-Mastered
[bracket] indicate Cascades specific assignment

CACREP Standard	Program Learning Outcomes KPI	M.Coun Courses	Key Outcome Assessments (KOA)	Assessment Type	KPI Level
Section 2.F.1. Professional Counseling Orientation and Ethical Practice	1. Maintains strong professional identity and practices ethically and professionally	COUN 541 The Counseling Profession (SC)	Ethics Exam	Exam (knowledge)	I
		COUN 550 Foundations of Mental Health Counseling (CMHC)	Ethics Exam	Exam (knowledge)	I
		COUN 515 Internship II	PCPE Evaluation	Case Presentation (skills)	M
		COUN541: COUN 541 The Counseling	Professional Orientation Paper	Research Paper (knowledge)	I

		Profession [Cascades]			
		COUN515: Internship [Cascades]	Case Conceptualization: Professional Orientation & Ethical Practice	Conceptualization Paper (knowledge and skill)	M
Section 2. F. 2. Social and Cultural Diversity	2. Recognizes human diversities and social inequities on the microlevel and provides socially just and culturally-informed services	COUN 581 Social and Cultural Perspectives	Building Multicultural Counseling Competencies	Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 515 Internship II	PCPE Evaluation	Clinical Evaluation (skills)	M
		COUN 581 Cross-Cultural [Cascades]	Investigating the Lived Experiences of Diverse Populations (case study and presentation)	Paper and Presentation (knowledge & skills)	I
		COUN 515 Internship [Cascades]	Case Conceptualization: Social and Cultural Diversity	Case Presentation (skills)	M
Section 2. F. 3. Human Growth and Development	3. Develops theory-based understanding of human growth and development and promotes resilience and wellness across the lifespan	COUN 531 Developmental Perspectives	Life Transition Biography	Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 515 Internship II	PCPE Evaluation	Clinical Evaluation (skills)	M
		COUN514* [Cascades]	Case Conceptualization	Paper (knowledge)	M
Section 2.F.4. Career	4. Develops culturally relevant and technologically current career counseling skills and interventions	COUN 568 Career Development	CMH-Career Case Study SC-Career & College Readiness Paper	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 515 Internship II	PCPE Evaluation	Clinical Evaluation (skills)	M
		COUN568 Career Development [Cascades]	Career Counseling Theory Paper	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN515 Internship [Cascades]	Vocational Development, Theory, and Application	Conceptualization Paper (knowledge)	M
Section 2. F. 5. Counseling and Helping Relationships	5. Demonstrates theory- and evidence-based and culturally	COUN 551 Counseling Theories I	Case Conceptualization Paper	Research Paper (knowledge)	I

	relevant counseling skills and practices	COUN 515 Internship II	PCPE Evaluation	Clinical Evaluation (skills)	M
		COUN 551 Counseling Theories I [Cascades]	Theoretical Orientation Paper	Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 513 Pre-Practicum [Cascades]	Skills Evaluation	Clinical Evaluation (skills)	M
Section 2. F. 6. Group Counseling and Group Work	6. Demonstrates theory-based and culturally relevant group counseling skills and practices	COUN 571 Group Counseling	Group Proposal Paper	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		CPCE	Exam	Exam (knowledge)	M
		COUN 514 Practicum (CMHC & SC) [Cascades]	Group Application Assignment	Direct Contact Hours & Reflection Paper (skills and knowledge)	M
Section 2. F. 7. Assessment and Testing	7. Apply best practices in assessment and testing to evaluate client/student issues and to inform intervention	COUN 567 Appraisal	Assessment Data Interpretation and Intervention Development	Group Case Study Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 515 Internship II	PCPE Evaluation	Clinical Evaluation (skills)	M
		COUN 567 Appraisal [Cascades]	Instrument Evaluation	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		CPCE	CPCE results on the Assessment and Testing section	Exam (knowledge)	M
Section. 2. F. 8. Research and Program Evaluation	8. Demonstrates ethical and culturally relevant research skills and program evaluation skills	COUN 562 Research	Descriptive Statistics and Affinity Diagramming	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		CPCE	CPCE results on the Research section	Exam (knowledge)	M
Section 2. F. 2.	9. Social Justice Advocacy: Demonstrates the ability to analyze social injustices and to advocate for systemic	COUN 581 Cross-Cultural	Advocacy in Action Project & Paper	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 515 Internship II	PCPE Evaluation	Clinical Evaluation (skills)	M
		COUN532* Social	Social Justice Issue	Paper	I

	change	and Cultural Perspectives [Cascades]	Paper	(knowledge)	
		COUN 515 Internship [Cascades]	Case Conceptualization: Social and Cultural Diversity	Conceptualization Paper (knowledge)	M
Section 5. G. 1., 2., 3.	M.COUN - SC Option: Develops comprehensive school counseling program based on current best practice	COUN 546 Leadership of School Counseling Programs	Comprehensive School Counseling Programs	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 515 Internship III	Portfolio Project	Portfolio (knowledge)	M
		COUN515: Internship [Cascades]	Skills Evaluation, Spring quarter	Clinical Evaluation (skills)	M
Section 5. C. 1., 2., 3.	M.COUN – CMHC Option: Applies current best practice in assessment, diagnosis, and treatment planning to promote client wellness	COUN 577 Diagnosis	Movie Character Diagnostic Presentation	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 515 Internship II	PCPE Evaluation	Clinical Evaluation (skills)	M
		COUN 577 Diagnosis [Cascades]	Case Conceptualization with Diagnosis	Research Paper (knowledge)	I
		COUN 515 Internship [Cascades]	Assessment, Diagnosis, & Counseling Plans	Research Paper (knowledge)	M

Note. Cascades alternate assignments are indicated. The same KPIs are being measured for these assignments.

Scoring Key and Timeline for KPI Assessment (MCoun)

Several assessment methods are used to score KPI assessments in the PhD program. KPIs are indicated as “met” or “not met.” Most papers use a score of a B (83%) or above as met. The CPCE is a national exam and has a standardized score. See the Comprehensive Assessment Plan for specific KPI rubrics and the timeline of assessment.

1.3. Program Objective 1 Results

Ph.D. Program Data

Table 2.

PhD Counseling Student KPI Aggregate Ratings by Faculty Advisor, % Met, 2020-22

KPI	Introductory	Introductory	+/-	Mastery	Mastery	+/-
------------	---------------------	---------------------	------------	----------------	----------------	------------

	AY21 (n = 13)	AY22 (n = 12)		AY21 (n = 13)	AY22 (n = 12)	
1	100	100	-	100	100	-
2	100	100	-	100	100	-
3	100	100	-	100	100	-
4	92	100	+8	100	100	-
5	100	83	-17	100	100	-
6	100	100	-	100	100	-

Table 3.

PhD Counseling Student KPI Aggregate Ratings by Alumni, Site Supervisor, and Employers, % Met, 2020-22

KPI	Alumni AY21 (n = 9)	Alumni AY22 (n = 13)	+/-	Site Sup. AY21 (n = 2)	Site Sup. AY22 (n = 0)	+/-	Employers AY21 (n = 12)	Employers AY22 (n = 12)	+/-
1	100	100	-	100	-	-	-	-	
2	100	100	-	100	-	-	-	-	
3	100	92	-8	100	-	-	-	-	
4	92	92	-	92	-	-	-	-	
5	100	92	-8	100	-	-	-	-	
6	100	92	-8	100	-	-	-	-	

*Note. Doctoral students do not typically have site supervisors (core faculty serve as individual/ triadic supervisors). No employers of doctoral students responded to the survey in AY21 nor AY22.

Sample alumni comment: “I think there are some students who are not data literate who will graduate from the program. This is an issue.”

Master’s Program Data: Corvallis Site

Table 4.

M.Coun Student KPI Aggregate Ratings by Faculty Advisors, % Met, 2021-22 (Corvallis)

KPI	Introductory AY21 (n = 41)	Introductory AY22 (n = 40)	+/-	Mastery AY21 (n = 41)	Mastery AY22 (n = 40)	+/-
1	100	93	-7	100	100	-
2	90	98	+8	100	100	-
3	95	100	+5	100	92	-8
4	100	100	-	90	100	+10
5	100	100	-	100	100	-
6	100	100	-	100	100	-
7	100	100	-	100	100	-
8	100	100	-	100	100	-
9	90	93	+3	100	100	-
10	100	100	-	93	100	+7
11	100	100	-	86	100	+14

Table 5.

M.Coun KPI Aggregate Ratings by Alumni, Site Supervisor, and Employers, % Met, 2020-22 (Corvallis)

KPI	Alumni AY21 (n = 10)	Alumni AY22 (n = 22)	+/-	Site Sup. AY21 (n = 13)	Site Sup. AY22 (n = 15)	+/-	Employers AY21 (n = 0)	Employers AY22 (n = 2)	+/-
1	83	86	+3	100	86	-14	-	100	-
2	50	81	+31	100	93	-7	-	100	-
3	50	95	+45	100	80	-20	-	100	-
4	50	81	+31	100	100	-	-	100	-
5	50	95	+45	100	93	-7	-	100	-
6	50	77	+27	100	86	-14	-	100	-
7	67	91	+24	100	93	-7	-	100	-
8	33	81	+48	100	100	-	-	100	-
9	67	91	+24	100	100	-	-	100	-
10	50	86	+36	100	93	-7	-	100	-
11	67	86	+19	100	93	-7	-	100	-

Site supervisor comment: “My intern worked very hard this last school year to gain excellence in these dispositions. I feel that OSU's graduate program is doing a great job at teaching and learning these dispositions to students in the program..”

Master’s Program Data: Cascades Site

Table 6.

M.Coun Student KPI Aggregate Ratings by Faculty Advisors, % Met, 2020-22 (Cascades)

KPI	Introductory AY21 (n = 41)	Introductory AY22 (n = 41)	+/-	Mastery AY21 (n = 41)	Mastery AY22 (n = 41)	+/-
1	95	100	+5	100	100	-
2	100	100	-	100	100	-
3	100	100	-	92	100	+8
4	100	100	-	100	100	-
5	100	98	-2	100	96	-4
6	84	100	-	100	100	-
7	100	100	-	100	100	-
8	100	100	-	100	97	-3
9	100	100	-	100	100	-
10	100	95	-5	100	100	-
11	88	100	+12	100	100	-

Table 7.

M.Coun KPI Aggregate Ratings by Alumni, Site Supervisor, and Employers, % Met, 2020-21 (Cascades)

KPI	Alumni AY21 (n = 11)	Site Sup. AY21 (n = 13)	Employer AY21 (n = 4)
1	90	100	100
2	80	92	100

3	80	69	75
4	90	77	50
5	90	77	50
6	70	62	75
7	90	77	100
8	90	62	75
9	90	69	75
10	50	44	67
11	90	67	75

Note. Cascades campus did not collect alumni, site supervisor, and employer data in AY22.

Planned Program Modifications from AY21

Key Findings	Further Information	Planned Program Modifications	Result
Stakeholders (Advisory Committee) recommended having program objectives for social justice as part of the assessment plan for both MCoun and PhD	There is no KPI that represents social justice for the doctoral program.	Add a KPI for social justice for the Ph.D. program	This KPI already existed, with data collected. Exclusion was an error. Added back into program evaluation.
Only 4 employer responded from the Corvallis survey, and only one of those interacted with a PhD student.	No current database exists from which to contact employers; we rely on students forwarding the survey.	We are working on a database as an easier way to reach employers. We also think that more actively communicating with employers beyond just the need for survey responses can increase participation.	This continues to need work. Very few employers responded to this year’s survey despite new efforts, e.g., asking students for employer contact information and sending surveys to them.
Lack of school counseling supervisors responses from the survey.	We recognize that there is difficulty contacting school counseling supervisors because of their school schedule.	We plan to contact school counseling supervisors in mid May so that we can catch them prior to summer break and the busy start of school time.	We sent out surveys in September and had a better response from school counselor supervisors.

New Planned Program Modifications for AY22

Key Findings	Further Information	Planned Program Modifications	Result
<p>MCoun Site Supervisors rated students lowest on 3. Human Growth and Development KPI (80% met).</p>	<p>This was a drop of 20% from last year's survey.</p>	<p>Ensure a core faculty member teaches 3. Human Growth and Development; integrate more discussions about developmental theory in internship courses.</p>	<p>Review in AY23.</p>
<p>Very few employers respond to the stakeholder survey.</p>	<p>This is the second year of low employer response rate. No current database exists from which to contact employers; we rely on students forwarding the survey.</p>	<p>Double efforts on building a database as an easier way to reach employers. We also think that more actively communicating with employers beyond just the need for survey responses can increase participation.</p>	<p>Review in AY23.</p>

Program Objective 2

Students demonstrate professional dispositions required for vocational success in the counseling fields.

2.1. Professional Dispositions: Ph.D.

Professional Disposition	Corresponding attitudes/behaviors	Met/ Not Met
1. Student demonstrates self-awareness	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student accurately self reports goals 2. Student accurately self-reports motives, strengths, and weaknesses 3. Student demonstrates capacity to predict the impact of their own behavior on others and/or on groups or organizations 4. Student demonstrates awareness of own cultural influences, belief systems, values, social positions, power, and privilege 5. Student demonstrates awareness of own relational effect on faculty, staff, supervisors, peers, and clients. 	
2. Student demonstrates personal characteristics of a helping professional	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student demonstrates honesty, fairness, and respect for others 2. Student demonstrates ability to identify and appropriately accept personal responsibility 3. Student demonstrates ability to adapt to requirements, changes, unexpected events, & new situations 4. Respect for cultural and lifestyle differences; appreciation for culture and cultural experiences. 	
3. Student demonstrates emotional and relational skills of a helping professional	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student demonstrates appropriate verbal and non-verbal communication while relating to faculty, staff, supervisors, peers, & clients 2. Student demonstrates ability to listen to others and appropriately communicate empathy and understanding 3. Student recognizes and maintains appropriate boundaries with faculty, staff, supervisors, peers, & clients. 	
4. Student demonstrates professional behaviors	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student considers and adheres to the ethical guidelines of the profession in educational and professional settings. 2. Student communicates and responds in a prompt and professional manner when relating to faculty, staff, supervisors, peers & clients 3. Student is prompt and regularly attends class, program meetings, and clinical practice activities. 4. Student demonstrates understanding of and adherence to all program and site policies and procedures. 	
5. Student demonstrates learning behaviors of a doctoral student in counseling	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student completes all assigned tasks in an ethical and effective manner, including all class assignments, clinical or supervision reports. 2. Student responds non-defensively to, reflects on, and 	

	<p>integrates feedback from faculty, supervisors, and peers.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Student demonstrates engagement in developing cultural proficiency and social justice advocacy skills 4. Student is curious and actively seeks knowledge and experiences that add to scholarly and professional learning & development 5. Student is proactive by seeking relevant content and methodological information, seeking mentoring, writing, and otherwise regularly engaging in their dissertation research project. 	
--	---	--

Scoring Key and Timeline for Dispositional Assessment (PhD)

Dispositions are indicated as “met” or “not met.” See the Comprehensive Assessment Plan for the timeline of assessment.

2.2. Professional Dispositions: MCoun (Corvallis site)

Professional Disposition	Corresponding attitudes/behaviors	Met/ Not Met
1. Student demonstrates self-awareness	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student accurately self reports goals 2. Student accurately self-reports motives, strengths, and areas for growth 3. Student demonstrates capacity to predict the impact of their own behavior on others and/or on groups or organizations 4. Student demonstrates awareness of own cultural influences, belief systems, values, social positions, power, and privilege 5. Student demonstrates awareness of own relational effect on faculty, staff, supervisors, peers, and clients. 	
2. Student demonstrates personal characteristics of a helping professional	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student demonstrates honesty, fairness, and respect for others 2. Student demonstrates ability to identify and appropriately accept personal responsibility 3. Student demonstrates ability to adapt to requirements, changes, unexpected events, & new situations 4. Respect for cultural and lifestyle differences; appreciation for culture and cultural experiences. 	
3. Student demonstrates emotional and relational skills of a helping professional	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student demonstrates appropriate verbal and non-verbal communication while relating to faculty, staff, supervisors, peers, & clients 2. Student demonstrates ability to listen to others and appropriately communicate empathy and understanding 3. Student recognizes and maintains appropriate boundaries with faculty, staff, supervisors, peers, & clients. 	
4. Student demonstrates professional behaviors	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Student considers and adheres to the ethical guidelines of the profession in educational and professional settings. 2. Student communicates and responds in a prompt and 	

	<p>professional manner when relating to faculty, staff, supervisors, peers & clients</p> <p>3. Student is prompt and regularly attends class, program meetings, and clinical practice activities.</p> <p>4. Student demonstrates understanding of and adherence to all program and site policies and procedures.</p>	
<p>5. Student demonstrates learning behaviors of a graduate student in counseling</p>	<p>1. Student completes all assigned tasks in an ethical and effective manner, including all class assignments, clinical or supervision reports.</p> <p>2. Student responds non-defensively to, reflects on, and integrates feedback from faculty, supervisors, and peers.</p> <p>3. Student demonstrates engagement in developing cultural proficiency and social justice advocacy skills</p> <p>4. Student is curious and actively seeks knowledge and experiences that add to professional learning & development</p>	

Scoring Key and Timeline for Dispositional Assessment (MCoun Corvallis)

Dispositions are indicated as “met” or “not met.” See the Comprehensive Assessment Plan for the timeline of assessment.

2.3. Professional Dispositions: MCoun (Cascades site)

Disposition	As Evidenced by...	0	1	2	3	4
<p>1. Self-awareness. Counselors are aware of their inner emotional, cognitive, and physiological states, and they behave in congruent and authentic ways. Self-aware counselors continually strive to develop deeper awareness of self and recognize the development of self-awareness is a life-long practice rather than a goal to be achieved.</p>	<p>Describing aspects of one’s worldview and/or listening to others’ while maintaining awareness of one’s own defensiveness</p>					
	<p>Sharing one’s physiological, emotional, and cognitive states.</p>					
	<p>Openly expressing self with awareness of intentions, personal needs, desires, and motivation in interactions with self and others in a variety of settings (class, supervision sessions, counseling sessions).</p>					
	<p>Establishing and maintaining boundaries in the best interest of personal and professional relationships.</p>					

2. Empathy. Counselors who have empathy attempt to understand and develop a felt sense for the emotions, perceptions, and needs of their clients and peers. Empathetic counselors respectfully display empathy in their professional relationships.

Demonstrating the ability to seek and feel into the perspective of another.					
Affirming, supporting, and advocating for their clients and peers in their lived experiences.					
Developing the ability to attune to the needs of the others and make clinically-informed decisions based on those client needs and not of those of the counselor.					
Clearly demonstrating the ability to enact empathy versus other related constructs such as pity and sympathy.					

3. Curiosity. Curious counselors embody a sense of ‘wonderment’ and seek out alternative possibilities to problems. They seek to understand, especially when their perspectives differ from others.

Making inquiries that have purpose or clinical intent rather than asking questions that suggest emotional reactivity and/or judgment toward others identities and/or worldviews.					
Openness to new ideas (about others and oneself) and critical feedback offered by others about counseling and academic-related work.					
Actively participating in Socratic dialogue (in the classroom, supervision, and with clients).					

4. Integrity. Counselors are honest, fair, and follow through with their promises and agreements. They speak their truth and do not purposefully misrepresent what others say. They are trustworthy and reliable in crafting treatment plans, note-taking, and other written documents, and they avoid plagiarism. present themselves in authentic, yet respectful, ways.

Upholding the professional ethical and legal standards of the profession.					
Demonstrating fidelity in the agreements made in counseling, supervisory, and other professional relationships.					
Tolerating conflict, taking responsibility for mistakes, apologizing when necessary, and making attempts to repair when necessary.					
Demonstrating a strong commitment to maintaining strong written and verbal communication skills.					

<p>5. Wellness. Counselors practice their personal holistic wellness in their personal and professional lives, including their mental, emotional, physical, spiritual, relational, vocational, and cultural selves.</p>	Engaging in a wellness practice that is intentional, planned, and readily articulated.					
	Being open to and incorporating new wellness strategies based on new information, activating events, or changing life circumstances.					
	Demonstrating a commitment to reflecting upon their own wellness with others throughout the duration of their career.					
<p>6. Cultural Humility. Counselors have an ethical imperative to maintain awareness of their own worldview and intersecting identities and how these things may impact their interactions with others, both within and outside of conscious awareness. Counselors are capable of maintaining their own worldview while honoring and working with elements of other worldviews and identities that may be different than their own.</p>	Having a developing sense of self and how one’s identities converge to inform one’s view of reality, and how this reality may impact relationships with others.					
	Critically examining assumptions that are potentially harmful to self or others, and challenging these assumptions often, both in self-reflection work and with peers, supervisors, and professors.					
	Engaging in activities (conversations, scholarly works, conferences, advocacy) that increase one’s awareness of one’s own and others’ power, oppression, and privilege.					
	Demonstrating an awareness of systems impact on people’s development and taking steps to challenge and/or intervene in systemic barriers.					

Scoring Key and Timeline for Dispositional Assessment (MCoun Cascades)

Dispositions are rated on a four point system, with 1 = Below Expectations, 2 = Near Expectations, 3 = Meets Expectations, and 4 = Exceeds Expectations. Students must earn a score of “3” or higher in all areas in order to pass the evaluation. Thus, scores of 3 or 4 are indicated as “met,” and scores of 1 or 2 are indicated as “not met.” See the Comprehensive Assessment Plan for the timeline of assessment.

2.4. Program Objective 2 Results

Doctoral Program Data

Table 8.

PhD Counseling Student Professional Disposition Aggregate Ratings by Faculty Advisor, % Met, 2020-22

KPI	Y1 Eval AY21 (n = 13)	Y1 Eval AY22 (n = 12)	+/-	Y2 Eval AY21 (n = 13)	Y2 Eval AY22 (n = 12)	+/-
1	100	100	-	100	100	-
2	100	100	-	100	100	-
3	100	100	-	100	100	-
4	100	100	-	100	100	-
5	100	100	-	100	100	-

Table 9.

PhD Counseling Student KPI Aggregate Ratings by Alumni, Site Supervisor, and Employers, % Met, 2020-22

KPI	Alumni AY21 (n = 9)	Alumni AY22 (n = 12)	+/-	Site Sup. AY21 (n = 2)	Site Sup. AY22 (n = 0)	+/-	Employers AY21 (n = 0)	Employers AY22 (n = 12)	+/-
1	88	100	+12	100	-	-	-	-	-
2	100	100	-	100	-	-	-	-	-
3	88	92	+4	100	-	-	-	-	-
4	100	100	-	100	-	-	-	-	-
5	100	100	-	100	-	-	-	-	-

*Note. Doctoral students do not typically have site supervisors (core faculty serve as individual/ triadic supervisors). No employers of doctoral students responded to the survey in AY21 nor AY22.

Master’s Program Data: Corvallis Site

Table 10.

M.Coun CMHC Student Professional Disposition Aggregate Ratings by Faculty Advisor, % Met, 2020-22 (Corvallis)

Disposition	Y1 Eval AY21 (n = 21)	Y1 Eval AY22 (n = 19)	+/-	Y2 Eval AY21 (n = 16)	Y2 Eval AY22 (n = 18)	+/-
1	100	100	-	100	100	-
2	100	100	-	100	100	-
3	100	100	-	100	100	-
4	100	100	-	100	100	-
5	100	100	-	100	100	-

Table 11.

M.Coun School Counseling Student Professional Disposition Aggregate Ratings by Faculty Advisor, % Met, 2020-22 (Corvallis)

Disposition	Year 1 AY21 (n = 21)	Year 1 AY22 (n = 21)	+/-	Year 2 AY21 (n = 16)	Year 2 AY22 (n = 21)	+/-
1	100	100	-	100	100	-

2	100	100	-	100	100	-
3	100	100	-	100	100	-
4	100	100	-	100	100	-
5	100	100	-	100	100	-

Table 12.
M.Coun Student Professional Disposition Aggregate Ratings by Alumni, Site Supervisors, Employers, % Met, 2020-22 (Corvallis)

Disp.	Alumni AY21 (n = 11)	Alumni AY22 (n = 13)	+/-	Site Sup. AY21 (n = 13)	Site Sup. AY22 (n = 13)	+/-	Employers AY21 (n = 4)	Employers AY22 (n = 4)	+/-
1	90	90	-	92	90	-2	100	100	-
2	100	90	-10	100	90	-10	100	100	-
3	100	100	-	100	100	-	100	100	-
4	100	81	-19	92	81	-11	100	100	-
5	100	90	-10	100	90	-10	100	100	-

Master’s Program Data: Cascades Site

Table 13.
M.Coun CMHC Student Professional Disposition Aggregate Ratings by Faculty Advisor, % Met, 2020-22 (Cascades)

Disposition	Y1 Fall AY21 (n = 22)	Y1 Fall AY22 (n = 22)	+/-	Y2 Spring AY21 (n = 17)	Y2 Spring AY22 (n = 22)	+/-
1	100	100	-	100	100	-
2	100	100	-	100	100	-
3	100	100	-	100	100	-
4	100	100	-	100	100	-
5	100	100	-	100	100	-
6	100	100	-	100	100	-

Table 14.
M.Coun School Counseling Student Professional Disposition Aggregate Ratings by Faculty Advisor, % Met, 2020-22 (Cascades)

Disposition	Y1 Fall AY21 (n = 7)	Y1 Fall AY22 (n = 9)	+/-	Y1 Spring AY21 (n = 7)	Y1 Spring AY22 (n = 9)	+/-
1	100	95	-5	100	100	-
2	100	100	-	100	100	-
3	100	100	-	100	92	-8
4	100	100	-	100	100	-
5	100	100	-	100	70	-30
6	100	71	-29	100	100	-

Table 15.
*M.Coun Professional Disposition Aggregate Ratings by Alumni, Site Supervisors, and Employers, % Met, 2020-21 (Cascades)**

Professional Disposition	Alumni (n = 11)	Site Supervisor (n = 13)	Employer (n = 4)
1	90	92	100
2	100	100	100
3	100	100	100
4	100	92	100
5	100	100	100
6	100	100	100

*Note: No additional data for Cascades site was collected in 2022.

Planned Program Modifications from AY21

Key Findings	Further Information	Planned Program Modifications	Result
Cascades alumni want more preparation in the licensure process.	Not the strongest data point; Only one student stated this on the survey.	Program will schedule group advising meeting during spring quarter of Y2 and invite licensure support staff to walk through what that process entails.	Group advising meeting took place in spring quarter of Y2.
Cascades site supervisors want CMHC students to be more prepared for diagnostic assessment in internship.	Two site supervisors reported that Cascades students are not prepared adequately for diagnostic assessment.	Students typically take their diagnosis course during winter of their first year. Students do not diagnose clients at the practicum clinic. Program will provide more information to sites about the skills students are entering internship with.	Program has been communicating with sites about students not having diagnostic skills at the beginning of internship.

New Planned Program Modifications for AY22

Key Findings	Further Information	Planned Program Modifications	Result
Only 81% of Corvallis MCoun alumni and site supervisors rated PD4 Professional Behaviors as “met.”	This was a drop from last year’s survey; drop of 19% (alumni) and 11% (site supervisors). Students have been noted as struggling with promptness and professionalism during field experiences	Discuss professional expectations regarding timeliness, communication, dependability during clinical field orientations and practicum.	Review in AY23.

	regarding communication and attending meetings on time (PD4.2, 4.3)		
Only 70% of Cascades MCoun School Counseling students were rated as “met” for PD5 Wellness.	100% of students received “met” ratings in Fall Y1, but only 70% in Spring Y1. In comparison, 71% received “met” ratings for PD6 in Fall Y1 and 100% in Spring Y2.	There are likely inconsistencies in how PDs are being rated across fall and spring terms. Plan to discuss PD evaluation by Cascades faculty to ensure consistency.	Review in AY23.

Program Objective 3

Students within the counseling program reflect the demographic diversity of the communities in which they will be working.

3.1. Diversity of Ph.D. Program Learning Community

Table 16.

Self-Identified Gender and Race/Ethnicity of Currently Enrolled PhD Counseling Students (%), 2020-22

Race/Ethnicity	Male			Female			Non-binary		
	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-
African-American/ Black		1	+1	4	3	-1			
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	+1			
Asian/Asian-American		1	+1	7	5	-2			
White	11	6	-5	21	22	+1			
Latinx/Hispanic	1	2	+1	4	6	+2			
Native Hawaiian, Other Pacific Islander									
Other Race/Ethnicity/ Two or More	3	2	-1	5	4	-1			
Non-Resident Alien									
Declined by Student					1	+1	1	1	-

Note. We used Federal definitions for race/ethnicity as per <https://www.census.gov/topics/population/race/about.html>

Table 17.

Alumni, Site Supervisor, Employer Ratings of PhD Counseling Student Diversity Representation, % Agree, 2021-22

Student Diversity Representation	Alumni AY21 (n = 15)	Alumni AY22 (n = 15)	+/-	Site Sup AY21 (n = 2)	Site Sup AY22 (n = 0)	+/-	Employer AY21 (n = 0)	Employer AY22 (n = 1)	+/-
Do you believe that OSU students/graduates reflect the demographic diversity of the communities in which they will be working?	100	100	-	100	-	-	-	100	-

*Note. Doctoral students do not typically have site supervisors (core faculty serve as individual/ triadic supervisors). No employers of doctoral students responded to the survey in AY21 nor AY22.

3.2. Diversity of M.Coun (Cascades/Corvallis) Program Learning Community

Master's Program Data: Corvallis Site

Table 18.

Self-Identified Gender and Race/Ethnicity of Currently Enrolled M.Coun CMHC Students (%), 2021-22 (Corvallis)

Race/Ethnicity	Male			Female			Non-binary		
	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-

African-American/ Black				2	1	-1			
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	+1						
Asian/Asian-American	1		-1	5	10	+5			
White	6	11	+5	32	35	+3	1	1	-
Latinx/Hispanic				2	3	+1			
Native Hawaiian, Other Pacific Islander									
Other Race/Ethnicity/ Two or More	1	2	+1	3	3	-			
Non-Resident Alien									
Declined by Student		1	+1	1	3	+2			

Note. We used Federal definitions for race/ethnicity as per <https://www.census.gov/topics/population/race/about.html>

Table 19.
Self-Identified Gender and Race/Ethnicity of Currently Enrolled M.Coun School Counseling Students (%), 2020-22 (Corvallis)

Race/Ethnicity	Male			Female			Non-binary		
	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-
African-American/ Black					1	+1			
American Indian or Alaska Native		1	+1	1	1	-			
Asian/Asian-American				1	2	+1			
White	4	5	+1	31	30	-1			
Latinx/Hispanic	2	1	-1	10	12	+2			
Native Hawaiian, Other Pacific Islander				1		-1			
Other Race/Ethnicity/ Two or More	2		-2	1	1	-			
Non-Resident Alien									
Declined by Student									

Table 20.
Alumni, Site Supervisor, Employer Ratings of M.Coun Counseling Student Diversity Representation, % Agree, 2020-22 (Corvallis Site)

Student Diversity Representation	Alumni AY21 (n = 10)	Alumni AY22 (n = 22)	+/-	Site Sup AY21 (n = 13)	Site Sup AY22 (n = 13)	+/-	Employer AY21 (n = 0)	Employer AY22 (n = 4)	+/-
Do you believe that OSU students/graduates reflect the demographic diversity of the communities in which they will be working?	50	91	+41	92	100	+8	-	100	-

Master’s Program Data: Cascades Site

Table 21.
Self-Identified Gender and Race/Ethnicity of Admitted M.Coun CMHC Students (%), 2021-22 (Cascades)

Race/Ethnicity	Male			Female			Non-binary		
	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-
African-American/ Black									
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Asian/Asian-American	1		-1		1	+1			
White	7	3	-4	22	8	-14	1	1	-
Latinx/Hispanic					2	+2			
Native Hawaiian, Other Pacific Islander									

Other Race/Ethnicity									
Non-Resident Alien									
Declined by Student	1		-1		2	+2			

Note. We used Federal definitions for race/ethnicity as per <https://www.census.gov/topics/population/race/about.html>

Table 22.
Self-Identified Gender and Race/Ethnicity of Admitted M.Coun School Counseling Students (%), 2020-22 (Cascades)

Race/Ethnicity	Male			Female			Non-binary		
	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-	AY21	AY22	+/-
African-American/ Black				1		-1			
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Asian/Asian-American					1	+1			
White	1	1	-	6	9	+3			
Latinx/Hispanic				1		-1			
Native Hawaiian, Other Pacific Islander									
Other Race/Ethnicity/ Two or More									
Non-Resident Alien									
Declined by Student									

Table 23.
Alumni, Site Supervisor, Employer Ratings of M.Coun Counseling Student Diversity Representation, % Agree, 2020-22 (Cascades)

Student Diversity Representation	Alumni AY21 (n = 11)	Alumni AY22 (n = 0)	+/-	Site Sup AY21 (n = 13)	Site Sup AY22 (n = 0)	+/-	Employer AY21 (n = 4)	Employer AY22 (n = 0)	+/-
Do you believe that OSU students/graduates reflect the demographic diversity of the communities in which they will be working?	80	-	-	70	-	-	100	-	-

*Note: No additional data for Cascades site was collected in 2022.

Planned Program Modifications from AY21

Key Findings	Further Information	Planned Program Modifications	Result
Diversity profile of PhD students is better than MCoun students, particularly in the Corvallis program.	37.5% alumni in Corvallis MCoun program believe that OSU students/graduates do not reflect the demographic diversity of the communities in which they will be working.	The Corvallis program is hiring a Head Advisor in winter 2022. One of the tasks of the new Head Advisor is to recruit students from diverse backgrounds and rural areas to better reflect the populations that students will serve.	Alumni in this year’s survey did feel that students (by and large) reflected the demographic diversity of the community in which they will be working, a

			<p>jump of +41%. Actual demographics appear similar this year compared to last, as our efforts will not be apparent until next year's (AY23) report. We will continue to monitor.</p>
--	--	--	---

New Planned Program Modifications for AY22

Key Findings	Further Information	Planned Program Modifications	Result
<p>MCoun Corvallis program student demographics are less diverse than the PhD Corvallis program.</p>	<p>Demographics seemed similar in AY22 as AY21. We began more active recruitment strategies for MCoun students in AY23 and are anticipating enhanced diversity profile of the MCoun program in next year's (AY23) report. We believe this is a recruitment issue rather than a review issue; We long ago implemented application review criteria that awards significant points for applicants' diverse background and experiences.</p>	<p>The Corvallis program hired a Head Advisor in winter 2022. The Head Advisor is now using active recruitment strategies to gain more applications from students from diverse backgrounds and rural areas to better reflect the populations that students will serve.</p>	<p>Review in AY23.</p>
<p>The Corvallis and Cascades programs do not systematically analyze data about the diversity profile of applicants, only of currently enrolled students.</p>	<p>This data is collected and used as part of applicant screening and review, but not in aggregate as part of evaluating program objective 3.</p>	<p>Begin analyzing diversity profile of applicants not just currently enrolled students for each degree program (MCoun CMHC, MCoun SC, PhD).</p>	<p>Review in AY23.</p>

Summary of Planned Program Modifications for AY23

- Create exit survey for MCoun students, with employer contact information listed. (Corvallis)
- Ensure a core faculty member teaches 3. Human Growth and Development; integrate more discussions about developmental theory in internship courses. (Corvallis)
- Discuss professional expectations regarding timeliness, communication, dependability during clinical field orientations, pre-practicum, and practicum. (Corvallis)
- Enhance recruitment of students from diverse backgrounds and rural areas (Corvallis)
- Analyze aggregate applicant diversity profile each year, in addition to diversity profile of currently enrolled students (Corvallis and Cascades)
- Plan to discuss dispositional evaluation by Cascades faculty to ensure consistency. (Cascades)